

Oral morphine versus ibuprofen administered at home for postoperative orthopedic pain in children: a randomized controlled trial

Naveen Poonai MD, Natasha Dato MD, Samina Ali MDCM, Megan Cashin MD, Amy L. Drendel MD, Rongbo Zhu MD, Natasha Lepore BSc, Michael Greff MD, Michael Rieder MD, Debra Bartley MD

■ Cite as: *CMAJ* 2017 October 10;189:E1252-8. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.170017

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Oral morphine for postoperative pain after minor pediatric surgery, while increasingly popular, is not supported by evidence. We evaluated whether oral morphine was superior to ibuprofen for at-home management of children's postoperative pain.

METHODS: We conducted a randomized superiority trial comparing oral morphine (0.5 mg/kg) with ibuprofen (10 mg/kg) in children 5 to 17 years of age who had undergone minor outpatient orthopedic surgery (June 2013 to September 2016). Participants took up to 8 doses of the intervention drug every 6 hours as needed for pain at home. The primary

outcome was pain, according to the Faces Pain Scale – Revised, for the first dose. Secondary outcomes included additional analgesic requirements, adverse effects, unplanned health care visits and pain scores for doses 2 to 8.

RESULTS: We analyzed data for 77 participants in each of the morphine and ibuprofen groups. Both interventions decreased pain scores with no difference in efficacy. The median difference in pain score before and after the first dose of medication was 1 (interquartile range 0–1) for both morphine and ibuprofen ($p = 0.2$). For doses 2 to 8, the median differences in pain score before and after the dose were

not significantly different between groups. Significantly more participants taking morphine reported adverse effects (45/65 [69%] v. 26/67 [39%], $p < 0.001$), most commonly drowsiness (31/65 [48%] v. 15/67 [22%] in the morphine and ibuprofen groups, respectively; $p = 0.003$).

INTERPRETATION: Morphine was not superior to ibuprofen, and both drugs decreased pain with no apparent difference in efficacy. Morphine was associated with significantly more adverse effects, which suggests that ibuprofen is a better first-line option after minor surgery. **Trial registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov, no. NCT01686802.

Moderate to severe pain is the most common postoperative pediatric complaint,¹ particularly within 24 hours² and even after minor surgery.^{3–7} Inadequate analgesia is prevalent after outpatient pediatric surgery^{3,6–10} and is the most common reason for unplanned hospital admissions.¹¹ In children, suboptimal analgesia can result in sleep disturbance, behavioural changes and vomiting.¹² Untreated pain leads to slower wound healing,¹³ needle phobia,¹⁴ hyperesthesia¹⁵ and fear of medical procedures.¹⁶

Undertreatment is an important cause of inadequate postoperative analgesia.^{17–20} Both ibuprofen^{21,22} and oral morphine^{22,23} have shown benefit in children with musculoskeletal injuries. Following deaths of children who received codeine postoperatively,^{24,25} the United States Food and Drug Administration²⁶ and Health Canada²⁷ issued advisories. Orthopedic

procedures are associated with the highest incidence of post-discharge pain.^{28,29} Although not discipline-specific, more than 80% of pediatric surgeries are performed on an outpatient basis,³⁰ which renders pain management largely the responsibility of caregivers at home. Oral morphine and other opioids are being prescribed more often.^{31–33} However, evidence supporting morphine for at-home postoperative pain management in children is lacking.

Given the lack of consensus standards for analgesia after pediatric outpatient surgery, suboptimal provision of analgesia^{2,9} and growing fears about opioids, there is an urgent need for evidence to guide outpatient analgesic choices for children at discharge. We sought to evaluate whether oral morphine was superior to ibuprofen for relieving children's pain at home, after minor outpatient orthopedic surgery.

Methods

Design and setting

For this parallel-group, randomized, blinded superiority trial, we recruited participants from June 2013 to September 2016 at the Children's Hospital, London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, where about 150 pediatric outpatient orthopedic surgeries are performed annually. A data and safety monitoring board convened at 25%, 50% and 75% recruitment to monitor adverse effects.

Participants

We included all children aged 5 to 17 years who presented to the pediatric orthopedic clinic and were scheduled for minor outpatient surgery. We excluded children with known hypersensitivity to ibuprofen or morphine, long-term use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or opioids, renal insufficiency, bleeding disorder, cognitive impairment, obstructive sleep apnea, regional anesthesia or pregnancy.

Patients were screened consecutively for eligibility by a research assistant, who obtained informed consent and assent from all participants or their legal guardians, and who performed all study-related correspondence with participants.

Interventions

The hospital pharmacy performed randomization, using a computer-based random number generator (www.randomization.com). Eligible participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1 allocation ratio using a block size of 4 or 6 to receive either standard-release oral morphine (0.5 mg/kg, maximum 20 mg) or oral ibuprofen (10 mg/kg, maximum 600 mg) every 6 hours as needed for pain, for a total of 48 hours after discharge (maximum 8 doses). Allocation was concealed through use of sequentially numbered, opaque sealed envelopes. Study medications and placebos were kept in identical opaque sealed bottles and were dispensed in identical white plastic vials. Because of differences in taste and consistency, we employed a double-dummy approach³⁴ whereby each participant was given 8 prepackaged doses consisting of 2 vials (morphine and placebo ibuprofen or placebo morphine and ibuprofen). The placebos were identical in taste, colour and consistency to their active drug counterparts, so that participants were unaware of which intervention they were receiving. A protocol for unmasking was available on an emergency basis. Participants were asked to take a second dose if they vomited within 30 minutes of the first. For pain persisting longer than 60 minutes after the intervention, participants were instructed to take acetaminophen 15 mg/kg (maximum of 975 mg).

Participants recorded their pain using the Faces Pain Scale – Revised³⁵ at discharge and at home (immediately before and 30 min after each dose). Thirty minutes is the time to a clinically significant reduction in pain,^{23,36} to peak plasma concentration for oral morphine³⁷ and to onset of analgesia for ibuprofen.³⁸ Consistent with the described use of the Faces Pain Scale – Revised,³⁹ we instructed all children to circle the face on the horizontal axis that corresponded to their pain level. We asked caregivers to supervise their children to ensure that only 1 face was

circled at each time point. Caregivers and participants also recorded the number of acetaminophen doses taken for pain and any adverse effects that occurred within 96 hours of the first dose (selected from a list of known adverse effects).

Participants returned data collection forms by mail, using a stamped, self-addressed envelope, and returned unused medication to the study coordinator at the follow-up orthopedic clinic visit. We corroborated the reported number of doses taken with the medication that remained on the follow-up visit. We contacted participants whose forms contained unclear information. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion with the caregivers. A research assistant entered data from the forms into a study-specific Excel spreadsheet. Participants received a phone call at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after discharge to inquire about severe adverse events and unscheduled visits to a health care provider. Participants, caregivers and all members of the research team were blinded to the interventions.

Anesthesia and analgesia before discharge were provided as per the standard of care at our institution. Intraoperatively, participants received a weight-based dose of fentanyl and propofol with or without lidocaine for induction and sevoflurane for maintenance of anesthesia. At the end of the case, each participant received a subcutaneous injection of 2–5 mL of 1% lidocaine around the incision site. In the postanesthetic care unit, each participant received a weight-based dose of acetaminophen or, if the patient was in more severe pain, intravenous (IV) morphine.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome was the pre–post difference in self-reported pain for the first dose, based on the pain scale.³⁵ The Faces Pain Scale – Revised has been validated for postoperative use⁴⁰ in children from 4 to 12 years of age³⁹ and is believed to be clinically useful for older children.⁴¹ Although not validated for home use, the scale has been used at home for fracture pain²² and postoperative pain,² is preferred by children,⁴⁰ does not require training⁴² and was easily interpretable across our sample's age range.⁴¹ The 6-item Faces Pain Scale – Revised is scored from 0 (no pain) to 10 (maximum pain), with severity defined as none (0 or 2), mild (4), moderate (6) or severe (8 or 10).⁴³ Secondary outcomes included the pre–post difference in pain for the second to eighth doses (also based on the pain scale), the number of participants requiring breakthrough acetaminophen for pain, unscheduled visits to a health care provider for pain within 96 hours of the first dose and adverse effects.

Statistical analysis

We analyzed between-group differences in pain scores using an intention-to-treat analysis, based on the assumption that for participants who received no study intervention, the pre–post difference in pain scores would be zero. A difference in pain intensity of 1 face on the Faces Pain Scale – Revised has been shown to be a minimal clinically important difference.^{43,44} We analyzed the between-group differences in pain scores using the Wilcoxon 2-sample test. We used a mixed linear regression model, with an unstructured covariance matrix, to assess between-group differences in pain scores across all doses over time. We used the

Pearson χ^2 test or Student t test to evaluate differences in acetaminophen use and adverse effects. The study was powered to detect a between-group difference in the primary outcome. Assuming a standard deviation of 2 faces,^{39,41} 63 children per group were required to detect a between-group difference of 1 face at the 5%, 2-sided level of significance with 80% power.⁴⁵ We used SPSS software, version 23 (IBM). We considered p values less than 0.05 to be statistically significant.

Ethics approval

This trial was approved by the Health Sciences Research Ethics Board of Western University.

Results

Participants

We report results of an intention-to-treat analysis of 154 participants, of whom 71 (46%) were female (Figure 1, Table 1). The mean age was 12.4 (standard deviation [SD] 3.5) years. The

overall mean pain score at discharge was 2.3 (SD 1.3) (Table 1). No participants were lost to follow-up. Eight participants withdrew after randomization because they believed that ibuprofen would not adequately manage pain. Thirteen participants did not take any study medication, and all of these reported that the pain had not been sufficiently severe. All study vials were returned, and all opened vials were empty. For all but 1 participant, the number of used vials corresponded to the information on the data collection form. For the 1 exception, the participant reported a single pair of pain scores independent of analgesic administration. There were no obvious between-group differences in surgical procedures performed. No participants received intra-articular analgesia, regional analgesia, clonidine or long-acting analgesics. Three participants (2 in the morphine group and 1 in the ibuprofen group) received a single dose of IV morphine 0.05 mg/kg in the postanesthetic care unit. The demographic characteristics of participants who underwent randomization and did not take any study medication are presented in Table 2.




Figure 1: Flow of participants through the trial.

Primary outcome

The median time to the first dose was 3.3 (interquartile range [IQR] 0.5–6) hours for the morphine group and 3.5 (IQR 1–6) for the ibuprofen group. There were no significant differences between groups in the change in pain scores for the first dose ($p = 0.2$) (Table 3). There were no significant between-group differences in pain scores over time ($p = 0.4$).

Secondary outcomes

Sixty-five (84%) and 67 (87%) of participants took at least 1 dose of oral morphine or ibuprofen, respectively. There were

no significant differences in the change in pain scores for doses 2 to 8. However, both morphine and ibuprofen produced a decrease in pain scores with each dose (Table 3). There was no significant difference in the number of participants who required acetaminophen for breakthrough pain ($p = 0.2$). Among participants who took acetaminophen, there was no significant difference in the number of acetaminophen doses taken per participant ($p = 0.09$) (Table 4). Significantly more participants in the morphine group than in the ibuprofen group experienced adverse effects (45/65 [69%] v. 26/67 [39%], $p < 0.001$; Table 4). There were no serious adverse events, deaths, unscheduled visits to a health care provider for pain or adverse events, or unmasking of the intervention.

Interpretation

In this trial of at-home pain management in children who underwent minor orthopedic surgery, both oral morphine and ibuprofen reduced pain with no apparent difference in analgesic efficacy. Most of the children experienced pain severe enough to require analgesia. Oral morphine was associated with significantly more adverse effects, which suggests that ibuprofen is a safer first-line analgesic.

Among children who have undergone ambulatory surgery, oral morphine has not been studied for at-home therapy, nor has it been compared with ibuprofen, a frequently used analgesic. Our results are consistent with those from a study of children with non-operative fractures in which oral morphine produced analgesia comparable to that with ibuprofen but had significantly more adverse effects.²² In children remaining in hospital after surgery, several studies have found that parenteral⁴⁶ and oral morphine^{47,48} did not produce better analgesia than active comparators. Our findings contribute to this evidence, because we have shown that after discharge from ambulatory surgery, oral morphine has no analgesic advantage over ibuprofen for first-line treatment of pain.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants

Characteristic	Medication; no. (%) of participants*	
	Oral morphine <i>n</i> = 77	Ibuprofen <i>n</i> = 77
Age, yr, mean \pm SD	12.7 \pm 3.6	12.1 \pm 3.5
Sex, female	32 (42)	39 (51)
No. of doses taken		
0	12 (16)	10 (13)
1	4 (5)	8 (10)
2	9 (12)	5 (6)
3	10 (13)	7 (9)
4	10 (13)	9 (12)
5	5 (6)	6 (8)
6	6 (8)	7 (9)
7	5 (6)	5 (6)
8	16 (21)	20 (26)
Type of procedure		
Arthroscopy	9 (12)	10 (13)
ORIF	13 (17)	16 (21)
Ankle	2	2
Radial neck	1	3
Elbow	9	10
Ulna	1	1
Epiphysiodesis	2 (3)	2 (3)
Cyst excision	7 (9)	7 (9)
Hardware removal	38 (49)	34 (44)
Biopsy	1 (1)	0
Tendon or ligament repair	2 (3)	5 (6)
Percutaneous pinning	1 (1)	1 (1)
Suture removal	0	1 (1)
Amputation revision	1 (1)	0
ORIF + epiphysiodesis	2 (3)	0
Steroid injection	1 (1)	1 (1)
Discharge pain score, mean \pm SD	2.4 \pm 1.3	2.3 \pm 1.5

Note: ORIF = open reduction internal fixation, SD = standard deviation.

*Except where otherwise stated.

Table 2: Characteristics of participants according to whether data were included in analysis*

Characteristic	Participant group; no. (%) of participants†		
	Included in ITT analysis <i>n</i> = 154	Did not take study drug <i>n</i> = 13	Withdrew after randomization‡ <i>n</i> = 8
Age, yr, mean \pm SD	12.4 \pm 3.5	10.8 \pm 3.9	12 \pm 4.4
Sex, female	71 (46)	5 (38)	4 (50)
Group assignment			
Oral morphine§	77 (50)	7 (54)	4 (50)
Ibuprofen	77 (50)	6 (46)	4 (50)

Note: ITT = intention-to-treat, SD = standard deviation.

*Data were included in the analysis if the participant took at least 1 dose of the study medication.

†Except where indicated otherwise.

‡Participants who withdrew after randomization but before receiving any study medication.

§One participant in the oral morphine group was excluded from the analysis because the operative case was cancelled by the surgeon after randomization.

In contrast to our findings that ibuprofen was associated with clinically significant pain reduction,³⁹ a systematic review of NSAIDs for children in the postanesthetic care unit suggested otherwise.⁴⁹ Unlike patients receiving care in hospital, our sample included children who underwent minor surgeries that may not have been associated with severe pain⁴³ and who may have experienced less distress in their home environment. Among

pediatric inpatients, anxiety has been found to be highly correlated with postoperative pain.⁵⁰

In our study, pre-intervention pain scores for the first 6 doses correlated with mild to moderate pain,⁴³ but were associated with a perceived need for analgesia.^{41,51} For the first 6 doses, both agents produced a modest but clinically important reduction in pain.³⁹ However, most post-intervention pain scores remained above the analgesic threshold recommended by the World Health Organization.⁵¹ Consistent with our work, neither ibuprofen nor oral morphine (nor a combination) has shown complete efficacy in children with musculoskeletal injury.⁵² We also found that more than 80% of children required analgesia in the first 24 hours. This result suggests that adequate pain management should be an important goal of care, even after minor outpatient surgery, and that more effective pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic strategies should be explored.

The most common adverse effects associated with morphine were drowsiness (48%) and nausea (46%), in keeping with previous studies.^{22,33,46} Among pediatric patients with obstructive sleep apnea who underwent tonsillectomy, Kelly and colleagues⁴⁸ described a significantly higher frequency of oxygen desaturation with oral morphine. We chose not to measure this outcome because our sample included children with orthopedic pathology and excluded patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Concerns about adverse effects are prevalent (73%) among caregivers of children who undergo outpatient surgery,³ as are concerns regarding the addictive potential of opioids.^{3,33} These concerns may be fueled by recent pediatric evidence of long-term risks⁵³ and increased hospital admissions related to opioids.⁵⁴ These reports, together with our findings, suggest that ibuprofen

Table 3: Pain scores before and after each dose of pain medication, according to the Faces Pain Scale — Revised, and difference in score

Pain measurement	Study medication; median value (IQR)		p value*
	Oral morphine n = 77	Ibuprofen n = 77	
First dose			0.2
Before dose	3 (2–4)	3 (2–3)	
After dose	2 (1–2)	2 (1–2)	
Difference	1 (0–1)	1 (0–1)	
Second dose			> 0.9
Before dose	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	
After dose	2 (1–3)	2 (1–2)	
Difference	1 (0–2)	1 (0–1)	
Third dose			0.5
Before dose	3 (2–4)	3 (2–3)	
After dose	2 (1–2.5)	2 (1–2)	
Difference	1 (0–2)	1 (0–1)	
Fourth dose			0.9
Before dose	3 (1–4)	3 (2–4)	
After dose	2 (1–2)	2 (1–2)	
Difference	1 (0–1)	1 (0–1)	
Fifth dose			0.6
Before dose	2 (0–3)	2.5 (1–4)	
After dose	1 (0–2)	1 (1–2)	
Difference	0 (0–1)	1 (0–1)	
Sixth dose			> 0.9
Before dose	2 (0–3)	2 (0–3)	
After dose	1 (0–2)	1 (0–2)	
Difference	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	
Seventh dose			0.8
Before dose	1 (0–3)	2 (0–3)	
After dose	1 (0–2)	1 (0–2)	
Difference	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	
Eighth dose			0.6
Before dose	0 (0–3)	2 (0–2)	
After dose	0 (0–1.5)	1 (0–2)	
Difference	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	

Note: IQR = interquartile range.
*Wilcoxon 2-sample test.

Table 4: Adverse effects and requirement for breakthrough acetaminophen for participants who took at least 1 dose of the study drug

Outcome	Study medication; no. (%) of participants*		p value†
	Oral morphine n = 65	Ibuprofen n = 67	
Receipt of breakthrough acetaminophen	18 (28)	26 (39)	0.2
No. of breakthrough acetaminophen doses per patient, mean ± SD	0.7 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 2	0.09‡
Adverse effects			
Any	45 (69)	26 (39)	< 0.001
Nausea	30 (46)	13 (19)	0.002
Vomiting	12 (18)	3 (4)	0.01
Drowsiness	31 (48)	15 (22)	0.003
Dizziness	20 (31)	4 (6)	< 0.001
Constipation	9 (14)	3 (4)	0.07

Note: SD = standard deviation.
*Except where stated otherwise.
†Pearson χ^2 , unless stated otherwise.
‡Student t test.

may be more acceptable to caregivers who are managing children's pain at home.

Finally, access to analgesia is a barrier to effective postoperative pain management.²⁰ Opioids, including morphine, typically require a prescription and a dispensing source. In contrast, ibuprofen is available without a prescription and is relatively inexpensive; furthermore, accidental ingestion and intentional overdose have less severe clinical consequences.

Limitations

The primary limitation of our study was the requirement for a fixed dosing interval (6 h) to preserve blinding. Differences in the duration of action between morphine (2–4 h)³⁷ and ibuprofen (4–8 h)⁵⁵ could have led to greater analgesic requirements in the morphine group. We found the opposite, however, in that more participants in the ibuprofen group reported using acetaminophen for breakthrough pain. More importantly, median pre-intervention pain scores were virtually identical in the 2 groups. Second, we included participants who underwent a variety of surgical procedures, which may have contributed to baseline heterogeneity. Our study was not powered for secondary outcomes, but we do not believe this imparted any substantial bias, because there were no large differences in discharge or pre-intervention pain scores or in the number of medication doses. Third, the Faces Pain Scale – Revised is a self-reporting tool not validated for home use. However, we believed it to be the single best approach to determining pain levels at home, and it is currently the recommended instrument for the age range of patients included in this study.^{56–59} In contrast to self-reported measures, observational assessments by health care providers, though arguably more objective, have been found to underestimate children's postoperative pain.⁴⁰ Finally, our results may not be applicable to children who have undergone surgical procedures associated with greater than moderate levels of pain.

Conclusion

Both oral morphine and ibuprofen were associated with clinically significant pain reduction in children who underwent minor outpatient orthopedic surgery. Morphine did not provide superior analgesia, but was associated with significantly more adverse effects, making ibuprofen a better analgesic option. Importantly, pain was not completely managed by either intervention. Future work should explore whether combinations of non-opioid analgesics, more potent opioids with fewer adverse effects or nonpharmacologic therapies offer greater benefit, particularly for more severe pain.

References

1. Segerdahl M, Warren-Stomberg M, Rawal N, et al. Children in day surgery: clinical practice and routines. The results from a nation-wide survey. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2008;52:821-8.
2. Brown R, Fortier MA, Zolghadr S, et al. Postoperative pain management in children of Hispanic origin: a descriptive cohort study. *Anesth Analg* 2016;122:497-502.
3. Rony RYZ, Fortier MA, Chorney JM, et al. Parental postoperative pain management: attitudes, assessment, and management. *Pediatrics* 2010;125:e1372-8.
4. Nikanne E, Kokki H, Tuovinen K. Postoperative pain after adenoidectomy in children. *Br J Anaesth* 1999;82:886-9.
5. Kokki H, Ahonen R. Pain and activity disturbance after paediatric day case adenoidectomy. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1997;7:227-31.
6. Finley GA, McGrath PJ, Forward SP, et al. Parents' management of children's pain following 'minor' surgery. *Pain* 1996;64:83-7.
7. Wiggins SA, Foster RL. Pain after tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy: "Ouch it did hurt bad." *Pain Manag Nurs* 2007;8:156-65.
8. Kankkunen P, Vehviläinen-Julkunen K, Pietilä AM, et al. Parents' perceptions and use of analgesics at home after children's day surgery. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2003;13:132-40.
9. Fortier MA, MacLaren JE, Martin SR, et al. Pediatric pain after ambulatory surgery: Where's the medication? *Pediatrics* 2009;124:e588-95.
10. Zisk RY, Grey M, Medoff-Cooper B, et al. The squeaky wheel gets the grease: parental pain management of children treated for bone fractures. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2008;24:89-96.
11. Coley KC, Williams BA, DaPos SV, et al. Retrospective evaluation of unanticipated admissions and readmissions after same day surgery and associated costs. *J Clin Anesth* 2002;14:349-53.
12. Sutters KA, Miaskowski C. Inadequate pain management and associated morbidity in children at home after tonsillectomy. *J Pediatr Nurs* 1997;12:178-85.
13. Panneerselvam E, Balasubramanian S, Krishnakumar Raja VB, et al. 'Plain lignocaine' vs. 'lignocaine with vasoconstrictor' — comparative evaluation of pain during administration and post-extraction wound healing by a double blinded randomized controlled clinical trial. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2016;74:374-9.
14. Taddio A, McGrath P, Finley A. Effects of early pain experience: the human literature. *Prog Pain Res Manag* 1999;13:57-74.
15. Weisman SJ, Bernstein B, Schechter NL. Consequences of inadequate analgesia during painful procedures in children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1998;152:147-9.
16. Pate JT, Blount RL, Cohen LL, et al. Childhood medical experience and temperament as predictors of adult functioning in medical situations. *Child Health Care* 1996;25:281-98.
17. Sutters KA, Miaskowski C, Holdridge-Zeuner D, et al. A randomized clinical trial of the efficacy of scheduled dosing of acetaminophen and hydrocodone for the management of postoperative pain in children after tonsillectomy. *Clin J Pain* 2010;26:95-103.
18. Sutters KA, Miaskowski C, Holdridge-Zeuner D, et al. A randomized clinical trial of the effectiveness of a scheduled oral analgesic dosing regimen for the management of postoperative pain in children following tonsillectomy. *Pain* 2004;110:49-55.
19. Rømsing J, Hertel S, Harder A, et al. Examination of acetaminophen for outpatient management of postoperative pain in children. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1998;8:235-9.
20. Dorkham MC, Chalkiadis GA, von Ungern Sternberg BS, et al. Effective postoperative pain management in children after ambulatory surgery, with a focus on tonsillectomy: barriers and possible solutions. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2014;24:239-48.
21. Clark E, Plint AC, Correll R, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of acetaminophen, ibuprofen, and codeine for acute pain relief in children with musculoskeletal trauma. *Pediatrics* 2007;119:460-7.
22. Poonai N, Bhullar G, Lin K, et al. Oral administration of morphine versus ibuprofen to manage postfracture pain in children: a randomized trial. *CMAJ* 2014;186:1358-63.
23. Wille C, Bocquet N, Cojocar B, et al. Oral morphine administration for children's traumatic pain [article in French]. *Arch Pediatr* 2005;12:248-53.
24. Ciszowski C, Madadi P, Phillips MS, et al. Codeine, ultrarapid-metabolism genotype, and postoperative death. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:827-8.
25. Voronov P, Przybylo HJ, Jagannathan N. Apnea in a child after oral codeine: a genetic variant — an ultra-rapid metabolizer. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2007;17:684-7.
26. Safety review update of codeine use in children; new Boxed Warning and Contraindication on use after tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy. Silver Spring (MD): US Food and Drug Administration; 2013. Available: www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DrugSafety/UCM339116.pdf (accessed 2017 Jan. 28).
27. Health Canada's review recommends codeine only be used in patients aged 12 and over. Ottawa: Health Canada; [updated 2016 July 28]. Available: <http://healthy.canadians.gc.ca/recall-alert-rappel-avis/hc-sc/2013/33915a-eng.php> (accessed 2017 Jan. 28).
28. Shum S, Lim J, Page T, et al. An audit of pain management following pediatric day surgery at British Columbia Children's Hospital. *Pain Res Manag* 2012;17:328-34.
29. McGrath B, Elgendy H, Chung F, et al. Thirty percent of patients have moderate to severe pain 24 hr after ambulatory surgery: a survey of 5703 patients. *Can J Anaesth* 2004;51:886-91.

30. National Survey of Ambulatory Surgery. Survey results and products. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsas/nsas_products.htm (accessed 2017 Jan. 12).
31. Kircher J, Drendel AL, Newton AS, et al. Pediatric musculoskeletal pain in the emergency department: a medical record review of practice variation. *CJEM* 2014;16:449-57.
32. Lewis ET, Cucciare MA, Trafton JA. What do patients do with unused opioid medications? *Clin J Pain* 2014;30:654-62.
33. Abou-Karam M, Dubé S, Kvann HS, et al. Parental report of morphine use at home after pediatric surgery. *J Pediatr* 2015;167:599-604.e1-2.
34. Simon R. Optimal two-stage designs for phase II clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1989;10:1-10.
35. Bieri D, Reeve RA, Champion GD, et al. The Faces Pain Scale for the self-assessment of the severity of pain experienced by children: development, initial validation, and preliminary investigation for ratio scale properties. *Pain* 1990;41:139-50.
36. Beale JP, Oglesby AJ, Jones A, et al. Comparison of oral and intravenous morphine following acute injury in children. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2001;8:271-4.
37. Zernikow B, Michel E, Craig F, et al. Pediatric palliative care: use of opioids for the management of pain. *Paediatr Drugs* 2009;11:129-51.
38. Tucci JBE, Darwiche R, Medos Z, et al. Paracetamol and ibuprofen for paediatric pain and fever. *J Pharm Pract Res* 2009;39:223-5. 39. Hicks CL, von Baeyer CL, Spafford PA, et al. The Faces Pain Scale-Revised: toward a common metric in pediatric pain measurement. *Pain* 2001;93:173-83.
40. de Tovar C, Von Baeyer CL, Wood C, et al. Postoperative self-report of pain in children: interscale agreement, response to analgesic, and preference for a faces scale and a visual analogue scale. *Pain Res Manag* 2010;15:163-8.
41. Gauthier JC, Finley GA, McGrath PJ. Children's self-report of postoperative pain intensity and treatment threshold: determining the adequacy of medication. *Clin J Pain* 1998;14:116-20.
42. Stinson J, Yamada J, Dickson A, et al. Review of systematic reviews on acute procedural pain in children in the hospital setting. *Pain Res Manag* 2008;13:51-7.
43. Tsze DS, Hirschfeld G, Dayan PS, et al. Defining no pain, mild, moderate, and severe pain based on the Faces Pain Scale-Revised and Color Analog Scale in children with acute pain. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2016 May 25 [Epub ahead of print].
44. Bulloch B, Tenenbein M. Assessment of clinically significant changes in acute pain in children. *Acad Emerg Med* 2002;9:199-202.
45. Altman D, Machin D, Bryant T, et al., editors. *Statistics with confidence: confidence intervals and statistical guidelines*. John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
46. Duedahl TH, Hansen EH. A qualitative systematic review of morphine treatment in children with postoperative pain. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2007;17:756-74.
47. O'Hara M, McGrath PJ, D'Astous J, et al. Oral morphine versus injected meperidine (Demerol) for pain relief in children after orthopedic surgery. *J Pediatr Orthop* 1987;7:78-82.
48. Kelly LE, Sommer DD, Ramakrishna J, et al. Morphine or ibuprofen for post-tonsillectomy analgesia: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2015;135:307-13.
49. Michelet D, Andreu-Gallien J, Bensalah T, et al. A meta-analysis of the use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs for pediatric postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg* 2012;114:393-406.
50. Rullander AC, Lundström M, Lindkvist M, et al. Stress symptoms among adolescents before and after scoliosis surgery: correlations with postoperative pain. *J Clin Nurs* 2016;25:1086-94.
51. Brennan F, Carr DB, Cousins M. Pain management: a fundamental human right. *Anesth Analg* 2007;105:205-21.
52. Le May S, Ali S, Plint A, et al. A randomized controlled trial on oral analgesic utilization for children presenting with a musculoskeletal trauma in the emergency department. *Paediatr Child Health* 2016;21:E53.
53. Miech R, Johnston L, O'Malley PM, et al. Prescription opioids in adolescence and future opioid misuse. *Pediatrics* 2015;136:e1169-77.
54. Gaither JR, Leventhal JM, Ryan SA, et al. National trends in hospitalizations for opioid poisonings among children and adolescents, 1997 to 2012. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016;170:1195-1201.
55. Repchinsky C, Welbanks L, Bisson R, editors. *Compendium of pharmaceuticals and specialties*. Ottawa: Canadian Pharmacists Association; 2002.
56. McGrath PJ, Walco GA, Turk DC, et al. Core outcome domains and measures for pediatric acute and chronic/recurrent pain clinical trials: PedIMPACT recommendations. *J Pain* 2008;9:771-83.
57. Drendel AL, Brousseau DC, Gorelick MH. Pain assessment for pediatric patients in the emergency department. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:1511-8.
58. Drendel ALKB, Ali S. Pain assessment for children: overcoming challenges and optimizing care. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2011;27:773-81.
59. Stinson JNKT, Yamada J, Gill N, et al. Systematic review of the psychometric properties, interpretability and feasibility of self-report pain intensity measures for use in clinical trials in children and adolescents. *Pain* 2006;125:143-57.

Competing interests: None declared.

This article has been peer reviewed.

Affiliations: Division of Emergency Medicine (Poonai, Zhu, Lepore), London Health Sciences Centre; Department of Paediatrics (Poonai, Datto, Greff, Rieder) and Department of Surgery (Cashin, Bartley), Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ont.; Department of Pediatrics (Ali), Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Women and Children's Health Research Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alta.; Children's Hospital of Wisconsin (Drendel), Milwaukee, Wis.

Contributors: Naveen Poonai was the primary investigator and was responsible for designing the study, interpreting and analyzing the data and writing the manuscript. Natasha Datto, Megan Cashin and Debra Bartley were responsible for designing the study, overseeing data collection and writing the Methods, Results and Interpretation sections of the manuscript. Samina Ali, Amy Drendel and Michael Rieder made extensive contributions to the design of the study, interpretation of the data and revision of the manuscript. Rongbo Zhu was responsible for tracking participants and data entry and contributed to writing the Methods and Results sections of the manuscript. Natasha Lepore and Michael Greff were responsible for participant recruit-

ment, data collection and interpretation, and contributions to writing the Introduction and Methods sections of the manuscript. All of the authors gave final approval of the version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding: This study was funded by a Schulich Research Opportunities Grant from Western University, London, Ont.

Data sharing: All portions of the data are available for sharing, upon contact with the corresponding author.

Accepted: June 7, 2017

Correspondence to: Naveen Poonai, naveen.poonai@lhsc.on.ca